

ACADEMIC TRACK - PANEL DISCUSSION

Criteria for a successful Panel Session submission:

We encourage panel sessions with participants from different universities and countries.

The proposals will be reviewed by at least two independent reviewers who will specifically take into consideration the following criteria:

- Relevance: Is the topic of the proposed panel session related to the main themes in International Business and/or the main theme of SIETAR Europa Congress?
- Significance: Does the proposed panel session offer value beyond the usual competitive or interactive paper sessions, or address issues that are interesting but underexplored? Does it propose something new in terms of conceptual or methodological approaches, or highlight an issue of considerable managerial or societal significance?
- **Coherence:** Do the presentations for the proposed session sufficiently address related questions or issues, and do they contribute to a coherent panel?

We strongly encourage panels that promote audience engagement through discussion of relevant, important, and critical topics!

Submitting a Panel Session proposal:

Panel proposals should be no longer than 4 pages in the extended abstract format and they should include:

- The panel topic
- When providing author information, indicate which of the panelists is the moderator by placing the word "Moderator" in parentheses after her/his name
- The organizer(s) contact information and affiliations.
- The panelists' contact information, affiliations, presentation/paper titles and short abstracts (max 100 words per abstract) and a short biography (one paragraph in length) of each panelist describing their expertise
- The relevance of the proposed Panel Session to SIETAR Europa Congress, and the significance of the related contributions (max 900 words)
- A proposed time and topical structure and format of the panel, including how you intend to encourage interaction with the audience
- Any technical requirements
- A position statement by each panelist and an overview of the ways in which the position statements relate to each other.

The Panel Session proposal reviews are not blind. Criteria used in reviewing the proposals include the potential level of interest in the proposed topic, the presence of panel members with multiple perspectives on the topic,

www.sietareu.org | www.sietareu.org/seucongress2019/ | congress2019@sietareu.org



ACADEMIC TRACK - PANEL DISCUSSION

The Panel Session proposal reviews are not blind.

Criteria used in reviewing the proposals include the potential level of interest in the proposed topic, the presence of panel members with multiple perspectives on the topic, and the likelihood that the panel session will allow sufficient time for audience participation.

If the proposal is accepted, all the presenters listed in the proposal will be required to register for the conference and to participate in the session. Please note that presenting panelists are responsible for their own congress registration fee, travel, and accommodation costs.

When a panel submission is accepted, it is the panel organizer's responsibility to receive confirmation of attendance from the panelists.